arXiv:1702.08603v1 [math.NA] 28 Feb 2017

Approximation by translates of a single function of functions in space induced by the convolution with a given function

Dinh Dũng[a*], Charles A. Micchelli[b] and Vu Nhat Huy[c]

[a] Vietnam National University, Information Technology Institute
144 Xuan Thuy, Hanoi, Vietnam

[b]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, SUNY Albany
Albany, 12222, USA

[c] College of Science, Vietnam National University
334 Nguyen Trai, Thanh Xuan, Ha Noi

September 26, 2016 -- Version 2.0

Abstract

We study approximation by arbitrary linear combinations of n translates of a single function of periodic functions. We construct some methods of this approximation for functions in a class induced by the convolution with a given function, and prove upper bounds of L_p-the approximation convergence rate by these methods, when $n \to \infty$, for $1 < p < \infty$, and lower bounds of the quantity of best approximation of this class by arbitrary linear combinations of n translates of arbitrary function, for the particular case $p = 2$.

Keywords: Function spaces induced by the convolution with a given function; Reproducing kernel Hilbert space; Approximation by arbitrary linear combinations of n translates of a single function.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: (2010) 41A46; 41A63; 42A99.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to improve and extend the ideas in the recent papers [2, 3] on approximation by translates of the multivariate Korobov function. The motivation for the results given in [2, 3], and those presented here come from Machine Learning, since certain cases of our results here relate to approximation of a function by sections of a reproducing kernel corresponding to specific Hilbert space of functions. This relationship to Machine Learning is described in the papers [2, 5] and is not reviewed in detail here. Nonetheless, in this regard, we recall that the observation presented in [5] provide necessary and sufficient conditions for sections of a reproducing kernel to be dense in continuous functions in the corresponding Hilbert space. This result begs the question of the convergence

*Corresponding author. Email: dinhzung@gmail.com.

rate of approximation by sections of a reproducing kernel. We refer the reader to [2, 3] for detailed survey and bibliography on the problems considered in the present paper. Here, in this paper, we introduce a weighted Hilbert space of multivariate periodic functions and provide insights into this question. The results presented here also extend other norms on multivariate periodic functions and these results are presented separately in this paper.

We shall begin the study of this problem with a description of the notation used throughout the paper. In this regard, we merely follow closely the presentation in [2, 3]. The d-dimensional torus denoted by $\mathbb{T}^d$ is the cross product of d copies of the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ with the identification of the end points. When $d = 1$, we simply denote the d-torus by $\mathbb{T}$. Functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$ are identified with functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which are 2π periodic in each variable. We shall denote by $L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $1 \le p < \infty$, the space of integrable functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_p \ := (2\pi)^{-d/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^p d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/p}$$

and we shall only consider only real valued functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$. However, all the results in this paper are true in the complex setting. Also, we will use the Fourier series of a real valued function in complex form.

For vectors $\mathbf{x} := (x_l : l = 1, 2, \ldots, d)$ and $\mathbf{y} := (y_l : l = 1, 2, \ldots, d)$ in $\mathbb{T}^d$ we use $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \sum_{l=1}^d x_l y_l$ for the inner product of $\mathbf{x}$ with $\mathbf{y}$. Given any integrable function f on $\mathbb{T}^d$ and any lattice vector $\mathbf{j} = (j_l : l = 1, 2, \ldots, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we let $\hat{f}(\mathbf{j})$ denote the $\mathbf{j}$-th Fourier coefficient of f defined by the equation

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{j}) \ := \ (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(\mathbf{x})\, e^{i(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{x})}\, d\mathbf{x}.$$

Frequently, we use the superscript notation $\mathbb{B}^d$ to denote the cross product of d copies of a given set $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $1 \le p \le \infty$ and p' be defined be the equation $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Assume that $\varphi_{\lambda,d}$ belongs to $L_{p'}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and can be represented as the Fourier series

$$\varphi_{\lambda,d} \ = \ \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \lambda_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}\, e^{i(\mathbf{j},\cdot)} \tag{1.1}$$

in distributional sense for some sequence $\lambda := (\lambda_{\mathbf{j}} : \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$ with nonzero components. Notice that if $(\lambda_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1} : \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$ is absolutely summable, the function $\varphi_{\lambda,d}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{T}^d$. In the case that $d = 1$ we merely write φ_λ for the univariate function $\varphi_{\lambda,1}$. The special case when $d = 1$, $r \in (0, \infty)$ and λ is given by the equation

$$\lambda_j = \begin{cases} |j|^r & \text{if } j \ne 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = 0. \end{cases}$$

In this case, the function φ_λ corresponds to the Korobov function which was the focus of study in [2]. In general, we introduce a subspace of $L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ defined as

$$\Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T}^d) := \{f : f = \varphi_{\lambda,d} * g,\ g \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)\}$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \ := \|g\|_p$$

where we denote the convolution of any two functions f_1 and f_2 on $\mathbb{T}^d$, as $f_1 * f_2$, and as usual, define it at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ by equation

$$(f_1 * f_2)(\mathbf{x}) \ := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f_1(\mathbf{x})\, f_2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\, d\mathbf{y},$$

whenever the integrand is in $L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

The space $\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is particularly interesting as it has an interpretation in Machine Learning which is described in detail in the papers [2, 5]. As in the paper [2] we are concerned with the following concept. Let $\mathbb{W} \subset L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ be a prescribed subset of $L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\psi \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ be a given function on $\mathbb{T}^d$. Set $\mathbb{N}_n := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. We are interested in the approximation in $L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$-norm of all functions $f \in \mathbb{W}$ by arbitrary linear combinations of n translates of the function ψ, that is, by the functions in the set $\{\psi(\cdot - \mathbf{y}_l) : \ \mathbf{y}_l \in \mathbb{T}^d, l \in \mathbb{N}_n\}$ and measure the error in terms of the quantity

$$M_n(\mathbb{W}, \psi)_p := \sup_{f\in\mathbb{W}} \inf_{c_l\in\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{y}_l\in\mathbb{T}^d} \left\| f - \sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}_n} c_l \psi(\cdot - \mathbf{y}_l) \right\|_p .$$

The aim of the present paper is to investigate the convergence rate, when $n \to \infty$, of $M_n(U_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T}^d), \psi)_p$, where $U_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is the unit ball in $\Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)$. We shall also obtain a lower bound for the convergence rate as $n \to \infty$ of the quantity

$$M_n(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d))_2 := \inf_{\psi\in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)} M_n(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d), \psi)_2$$

which gives information about the best choice of ψ.

This paper is organized in the following manner. In Section two we introduce the method of approximation used throughout the paper and provide error estimates for both the univariate and multivariate cases. In Section three we apply these results to the problem described earlier, in particular, of approximating periodic functions by sections of reproducing kernels. We continue this line of investigation in Section four by relying upon observations of V. Maiorov [4] as a means to establish lower bounds of approximation.

2 A linear method of univariate approximation

In this section, we introduce a method of approximation induced by translates of the function defined in equation (1.1) in the univariate case. We do this in some greater generality. To the end, we start with the functions $\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\beta$ of the form given in equation (1.1). We introduce a trigonometric polynomial H_m defined at $x \in \mathbb{T}$ as

$$H_m(x) = \sum_{|k|\le m} \frac{\beta_k}{\lambda_k}\, e^{ikx} := \sum_{|k|\le m} \alpha_k\, e^{ikx}, \tag{2.2}$$

that is, $\alpha_k := \frac{\beta_k}{\lambda_k}$ for $|k| \le m$. For a function $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})$ represented as $f = \varphi_\lambda * g$, $g \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$, we define the operator

$$Q_m(f) := \ \frac{1}{2m+1} \sum_{l=0}^{2m} V_m(g)(\delta_m l)\varphi_\beta(\cdot - \delta_m l), \tag{2.3}$$

where $\delta_m := 2\pi/(2m+1)$ and $V_m(g) := H_m * g$. Our goal is to obtain an estimate for the error of approximating a function $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})$ by $Q_m(f)$ a linear combination of $2m+1$ translates of the function φ_β. For the moment, we assume that $\varphi_\beta \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$ and put

$$I_{m,j} = \{k : \ k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ (2m+1)j - m \le k \le (2m+1)j + m\}.$$

2.1 Error estimates for functions in the space $\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$

Theorem 2.1 *We put*

$$\varepsilon_m = \max\{\sup_{|k|>m} |\lambda_k^{-1}|, \sqrt{\sum_{|k|\in\mathbb{N}} \Gamma_{m,k}^2}\},$$

where $\gamma_k = \alpha_{k'}\beta_k^{-1}$, k' *the unique integer in* $[-m,m]$ *such that the number* $(k-k')/(2m+1)$ *is an integer, and* $\Gamma_{m,j} = \max\{|\gamma_k| : \ k \in I_{m,j}\}$. *Then there exists a positive constant* c *such that for all* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$ *we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_2 \ \leq \ c\,\varepsilon_m \|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{2m+1}(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}), \varphi_\beta)_2 \ \leq \ c\,\varepsilon_m.$$

Proof. We define the kernel $P_m(x,t)$ for $x,t \in \mathbb{T}$ as

$$P_m(x,t) := \ \frac{1}{2m+1}\sum_{l=0}^{2m} \varphi_\beta(x-\delta_m l) H_m(\delta_m l - t)$$

and easily obtain from our definition (2.3) the equation

$$Q_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} P_m(x,t) g(t)\, dt.$$

We now use equation (1.1), the definition of the trigonometric polynomial H_m given in equation (2.2) and the easily verified fact, for $k,s \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in [-m,m]$, that

$$\frac{1}{2m+1}\sum_{l=0}^{2m} e^{ik(t-\delta_m l)} e^{is(\delta_m l - t)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \ \frac{k-s}{2m+1} \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ e^{i(k-k')t}, & \text{if } \ \frac{k-s}{2m+1} \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

to conclude that

$$P_m(x,t) = \ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k e^{i(k-k')x}.$$

We again use the formula for the function φ_λ given in equation (1.1) to get that

$$P_m(x,t) - \varphi_\lambda(x-t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{ikx}(\gamma_k e^{-ik't} - \lambda_k^{-1} e^{-ikt}).$$

For $|k| \leq m$ we have that $k = k' \leq 2m$ and the above expression becomes

$$P_m(x,t) - \varphi_\lambda(x-t) = \sum_{|k|>m} \gamma_k e^{ikx} e^{-ik't} - \sum_{|k|>m} \lambda_k^{-1} e^{-ikt}.$$

From this equation and the definition of f we deduce the formula

$$Q_m(f)(x) - f(x) \ = \ \sum_{|k|>m} \gamma_k \hat{g}(k') e^{ikx} - \sum_{|k|>m} \lambda_k^{-1} \hat{g}(k) e^{ikx} =: A_m(x) - B_m(x).$$

By the triangle inequality we have

$$\|Q_m(f) - f\|_2 \ \leq \ \|A_m\|_2 + \|B_m\|_2. \tag{2.4}$$

Parseval's identity gives us the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_m\|_2^2 &= \sum_{|k|>m} |\gamma_k|^2 |\hat{g}(k^{'})|^2 \\ &= \sum_{|j|\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k\in I_{m,j}} |\gamma_k|^2 |\hat{g}(k-(2m+1)j)|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{|j|\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k\in I_{m,j}} |\Gamma_{m,j}|^2 |\hat{g}(k-(2m+1)j)|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by our assumption on ε_m and the inequality

$$\sum_{k\in I_{m,j}} |\hat{g}(k-(2m+1)j)|^2 \leq \|g\|_2^2,$$

we obtain that

$$\|A_m\|_2^2 \ \leq \ \sum_{|j|\in\mathbb{N}} \Gamma_{m,j}^2 \|g\|_2^2 \ \leq \ \varepsilon_m^2 \|g\|_2^2. \tag{2.5}$$

Next, by using Parseval's identity again, we have that

$$\|B_m\|_2^2 = \sum_{|k|>m} |\lambda_k^{-2}| |\hat{g}(k)|^2 \leq \sup_{|k|>m} |\lambda_k^{-1}| \sum_{|k|>m} |\hat{g}(k)|^2 \leq \varepsilon_m^2 \|g\|_2^2.$$

This, together with (2.4) and (2.5), proves the theorem. □

Definition 2.2 *The sequence$\{\theta_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ will be called a nondecreasing-type sequence if there exists a positive constant c such that $\theta_k \geq c\theta_l$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the inequality $|k| > |l|$.*

Theorem 2.3 *Let $\left\{\frac{|\beta_k|}{|\alpha_k|} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ and $\{|\lambda_k| : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be nondecreasing-type sequences. Then there exists a positive constant c such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$ we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_2 \leq c \, \|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})} \sqrt{\sum_{|k|\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_{mk}^{-2}},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{2m+1}(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}), \varphi_\beta)_2 \ \leq \ c \sqrt{\sum_{|k|\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_{mk}^{-2}}.$$

Proof. From our hypothesis we have that $|\gamma_k| \leq c_1 |\lambda_k^{-1}|$,

$$\sup_{|k|>m} |\lambda_k^{-1}| \leq c_2 \, |\lambda_m^{-1}| \leq c_2 \sqrt{\sum_{|k|\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_{mk}^{-2}}$$

and $\Gamma_{m,k} \leq c_3 |\lambda_{mk}^{-1}|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with some positive constants c_1, c_2 and c_3. From these inequalities and Theorem 2.1, the proof of the result is complete. □

From this theorem we have the following result.

Corollary 2.4 *Let $|\lambda_k| = |\beta_k| = |\lambda_{-k}| = |\beta_{-k}|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\left\{\frac{|\lambda_k|}{k^r} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ be a nondecreasing-type sequence for some $r > \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists a positive constant c such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$ we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_2 \ \leq \ c\,|\lambda_m^{-1}|\|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{2m+1}(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}), \varphi_\beta)_2 \ \leq \ c\,|\lambda_m^{-1}|.$$

Proof. We see from the hypothesis that

$$\frac{|\lambda_{mk}|}{(mk)^r} \geq c' \frac{|\lambda_m|}{m^r}$$

for some positive constant c' and then from which it follows that

$$\frac{|\lambda_{mk}|}{|\lambda_m|} \geq c'\,k^r$$

for all $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we conclude that

$$c' \sqrt{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_{mk}^{-2}} \leq |\lambda_m^{-1}| \sqrt{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} k^{-2r}}\ .$$

Note that, since $r > \frac{1}{2}$ we have $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} k^{-2r} < \infty$ and then by applying Theorem 2.3 we complete the proof. □

Corollary 2.5 *Let $|\beta_k| = |\beta_{-k}| = |\lambda_k|^2 = |\lambda_{-k}|^2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\{|\lambda_k| : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a nondecreasing-type sequence. Then there exists a positive constant c such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$ we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_2 \leq c\,\|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})} \sqrt{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_{mk}^{-2}},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{2m+1}(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}), \varphi_\beta)_2 \ \leq \ c \sqrt{\sum_{|k|\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_{mk}^{-2}}.$$

Proof. The inclusions $\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\beta \in L_2(\mathbb{T})$ and the equations $|\beta_k| = |\beta_{-k}| = |\lambda_k|^2 = |\lambda_{-k}|^2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ yield that $|\beta_k^{-1}| \leq c'\,|\lambda_k^{-1}|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with a positive constants c'. Hence, by applying Theorem 2.3 we prove the corollary. □

Similarly to the proof of Corollary 2.4 we can prove the following fact.

Corollary 2.6 *Let $|\beta_k| = |\beta_{-k}| = |\lambda_k|^2 = |\lambda_{-k}|^2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\left\{\frac{|\lambda_k|}{k^r} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ be a nondecreasing-type sequence $r > \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists a positive constant c such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$ we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_2 \ \leq \ c\,|\lambda_m^{-1}|\|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{2m+1}(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}), \varphi_\beta)_2 \ \leq \ c\,|\lambda_m^{-1}|.$$

Corollary 2.7 *Let $r > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_k = \beta_k = |k|^r$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0$, and $\lambda_0 = 1$. Then there exists a positive constant c such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$ we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_2 \ \leq \ c\, m^{-r} \|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{2m+1}(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}), \varphi_\beta)_2 \ \leq \ c\, m^{-r}.$$

Remark 2.8 *Note that under the assumptions of Corollary 2.5, $K_\lambda(x,y) := \varphi_\beta(x-y)$ is the reproducing kernel for the Hilbert space $\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$. This means, for every function $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}$, we have that*

$$f(x) \ = (f, K_\lambda(\cdot, x))_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})},$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})}$ denotes the inner product on the Hilbert space $\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$. It is known that the linear span of the set of functions $\mathbb{K}_\lambda := \{K_\lambda(\cdot - y) : y \in \mathbb{T}\}$ is dense in the Hilbert space $\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$. Under a certain restriction on the sequence λ, Corollaries 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 give an explicit rate of the error of the linear approximation of $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$ by the function $Q_m(f)$ belonging $\mathbb{K}_\lambda$. For a definitive treatment of reproducing kernels, see, for example, [1]. Corollary 2.7 has been proven as Theorem 2.10 in [2] where $\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T})$ is the Korobov space $K_2^r(\mathbb{T})$.

2.2 Error estimates for functions in the space $\Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})$

For this purpose, we define, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the quantity

$$\varepsilon_m := \ \max\{ \sum_{|k|>m} |\Delta \lambda_k^{-1}|, \ \sum_{|k|>m} |\Delta \gamma_k| + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\gamma_{k(2m+1)+m}|\}, \tag{2.6}$$

where γ_k is defined as in Theorem 2.1, and $\Delta\theta_k := \theta_k - \theta_{k+1}$ for the sequence $\{\theta_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Now, we are ready to state the the following result.

Theorem 2.9 *If $1 < p < \infty$ then there exists a positive constant c such that for all $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_p \ \leq \ c\, \varepsilon_m \|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})}, \tag{2.7}$$

and consequently,

$$M_{2m+1}(U_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T}), \varphi_\beta)_p \ \leq \ c\, \varepsilon_m.$$

Proof. In order to prove (2.7) we need an auxiliary result which is a direct corollary of the well-known Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem, see, e.g., [2, Lemma 2.7]. For $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an integrable function g on $\mathbb{T}$ we introduce the trigonometric polynomial

$$F_{r,s}(g,x) := \sum_{r \leq k \leq s} \hat{g}(k) e^{ikx}.$$

Then there exists an absolute positive constant c' such that for all $g \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that

$$\|F_{r,s}(g)\|_p \leq c' \|g\|_p. \tag{2.8}$$

In a completely similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can establish the formula

$$Q_m(f)(x) - f(x) \ = \ \sum_{|k|>m} \gamma_k \hat{g}(k') e^{ikx} - \sum_{|k|>m} \lambda_k^{-1} \hat{g}(k) e^{ikx} \tag{2.9}$$

for a function $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})$ represented as $f = \varphi_\lambda * g$, $g \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$.

The next step is to decompose each sum above into two parts. Specifically, we write the first sum above as

$$\sum_{k>m} \gamma_k \hat{g}(k^{'}) e^{ikx} + \sum_{k<-m} \gamma_k \hat{g}(k^{'}) e^{ikx}. \tag{2.10}$$

We call the the first sum in equation (2.10) $A_m^+(x)$ and the other $A_m^-(x)$. Now, we readily rewrite $A_m^+(x)$ in the form

$$A_m^+(x) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k\in I_{m,j}} \gamma_k e^{ikx} \hat{g}(k^{'}). \tag{2.11}$$

We shall express the right hand side of equation (2.11) in an alternate form by using summation by parts. For this purpose, we introduce the modified difference operator defined on vectors as

$$\Lambda\gamma_k = \begin{cases} \gamma_k - \gamma_{k+1}, & \text{if } j(2m+1) - m \le k < j(2m+1) + m \\ \gamma_k, & \text{if } k = j(2m+1) + m. \end{cases}$$

With this notation in hand and the fact that, for $k \in I_{m,j}$ we have that $k^{'} = k - j(2m+1)$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} A_m^+(x) &= \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k\in I_{m,j}} \gamma_k e^{ikx} \hat{g}(k - j(2m+1)) \\ &= \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k\in I_{m,j}} \Lambda\gamma_k \, e^{ij(2m+1)x} G_{-m,k-j(2m+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, according to (2.8) and the Hölder inequality, there exists a positive constant c_1 such that

$$\|A_m^+\|_p \le c_1 \|g\|_p \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k\in I_{m,j}} |\Lambda\gamma_k|.$$

From this inequality and the definition of ε_m, given in equation (2.6), we conclude that

$$\|A_m^+\|_p \le c_1 \varepsilon_m \|g\|_p.$$

A bound on $\|A_m^-\|_p$ follows by a similar argument and yields the inequality

$$\|A_m^-\|_p \le c_1 \varepsilon_m \|g\|_p.$$

There still remains the task of bounding the second sum in equation (2.9). As before, we split it into two parts

$$\sum_{k>m} \lambda_k^{-1} e^{ikx} \hat{g}(k) + \sum_{k<-m} \lambda_k^{-1} e^{ikx} \hat{g}(k)$$

and call the first sum above $B_m^+(x)$ and the second sum $B_m^-(x)$. As before, summation by parts yields the alternate form

$$B_m^+(x) = \sum_{k\ge m+1} \Delta\lambda_k^{-1} G_{m+1,k}$$

from which we deduce that

$$\|B_m^+(x)\|_p \le \sum_{k\ge m+1} |\Delta\lambda_k^{-1}| \|g\|_p.$$

Therefore, by (2.6) we obtain that

$$\|B_m^+(x)\|_p \leq c_1 \varepsilon_m \|g\|_p$$

and, in a similar way, we prove that

$$\|B_m^-\|_p \ \leq c_1 \varepsilon_m \, \|g\|_p.$$

Combining our remarks above proves the result. □

Now, we are ready to state the the following result.

Theorem 2.10 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\beta_k = \beta_{-k}$, $\lambda_k = \lambda_{-k}$. Assume that $\{\log(\beta_k/\lambda_k)/2^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{\lambda_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are nondecreasing, positive sequences. Then there exists a positive constant c such that for all $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_p \ \leq c \, \|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_{mk}^{-1}, \tag{2.12}$$

and consequently,

$$M_{2m+1}(U_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T}), \varphi_\beta)_p \ \leq \ c \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_{mk}^{-1}.$$

Proof. Since $\{\lambda_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a nondecreasing sequence, we have that $|\Delta\lambda_k^{-1}| = \lambda_k^{-1} - \lambda_{k+1}^{-1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and then it follows that

$$\sum_{|k|>m} |\Delta\lambda_k^{-1}| \leq 2\lambda_m^{-1}. \tag{2.13}$$

From the inequalities $\log \alpha_{k+1}/2^{k+1} \geq \log \alpha_k/2^k \geq \log \alpha_{k-1}/2^{k-1}$ we have that

$$\log \alpha_{k+1}/2^{k+1} \geq (\log \alpha_{k+1} + \log \alpha_k)/(2^k + 2^{k-1}) \geq (\log \alpha_{k+1} + \log \alpha_k)/2^{k+1}.$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\log \alpha_{k+1} \geq \log \alpha_k + \log \alpha_{k-1}$$

and also that

$$\alpha_{k+1}/\alpha_k \geq \alpha_{k-1} \geq \alpha_{(k+1)'}/\alpha_{k'}.$$

Then, it follows from the hypothesis that $\gamma_k = \alpha_{k'}/(\lambda_k \alpha_k)$ and $\{\lambda_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are both nondecreasing, positive sequences, from which we deduce for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\gamma_{k+1} \leq \gamma_k \leq \lambda_k^{-1}.$$

Consequently, we conclude that

$$\sum_{|k|>m} |\Delta\gamma_k| + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\gamma_{k(2m+1)+m}| = 2(\gamma_{m+1} + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} |\gamma_{k(2m+1)+m}|) \leq 4 \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_{mk}^{-1}. \tag{2.14}$$

From inequalities (2.13), (2.14) and Theorem 2.9 we confirm (2.12) which completes the proof the theorem. □

Remark 2.11 *Note that for the sequence λ defined as*

$$\lambda_j = \begin{cases} |j|^r & \text{if } j \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = 0, \end{cases}$$

$\Phi_{\lambda,p}$ becomes the Korobov space $K_p^r(\mathbb{T})$ and from Theorem 2.10 we derive the following estimate

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_p \ \leq cm^{-r}\|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T})},$$

which have been proven is in [2].

From Theorem 2.10 we immediately derive the following corollary.

Corollary 2.12 *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\lambda_k = \beta_k = e^{-s|k|}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $s > 0$. Then there exists a positive constant c such that for all $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_p \ \leq \ ce^{-sm}\|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{2m+1}(U_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T}), \varphi_\beta)_p \ \leq \ ce^{-sm}.$$

Definition 2.13 *Let $\beta > 0$. A function $b : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ will be called a mask of type β if b is an even function, twice continuously differentiable such that for $t > 0$, $b(t) = (1 + |t|)^{-\beta} F_b(\log|t|)$ for some function $F_b : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, where for some constant $c(b)$ $|F_b^{(k)}(t)| \leq c(b)$ for all $t > 1$ and $k = 0, 1$. A sequence $\{b_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ will be called a sequence mask of type β if there exists a mask b of type β such that $b_k = b(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

In the next two theorems and their proofs we use the abbreviated notation: $\overline{\lambda}_k := \lambda_k^{-1}$ and $\overline{\beta}_k := \beta_k^{-1}$.

Theorem 2.14 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and the sequence $\{\overline{\lambda}_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{\overline{\beta}_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a sequence mask of type $r > 1$. Then there exists a positive constant c such that for all $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_p \quad \leq c\, m^{-r} \ \|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{2m+1}(U_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T}), \varphi_\beta)_p \ \leq \ c\, m^{-r}.$$

Proof. According the hypothesis we have, by Theorem 2.10, that

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_p \leq c\varepsilon_m \ \|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})},$$

where

$$\varepsilon_m = \sum_{|k|>m} |\Delta\lambda_k| + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_{k(2m+1)+m}|.$$

Note that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\Delta\lambda_k^{-1}| = |\overline{\lambda}^{'}(k) - \overline{\lambda}^{'}(k+1)| = |\overline{\lambda}^{'}(c)|,$$

where $c \in (k, k+1)$. Therefore, we have for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that

$$|\overline{\lambda}^{'}(c)| = |(1+c)^{-(r+1)}(\frac{-1}{r}F_{\overline{\lambda}}(\log c) + F^{'}_{\overline{\lambda}}(\log c))|$$
$$\leq \frac{r+1}{r}c(\overline{\lambda})(1+c)^{-(r+1)} \leq c(\overline{\lambda})\frac{r+1}{r}(1+k)^{-(r+1)}.$$

Consequently, we conclude that

$$\sum_{|k|>m} |\Delta\lambda_k^{-1}| \leq 2c(\overline{\lambda})\frac{r+1}{r}\sum_{k>m}(1+k)^{-(r+1)} \leq c(\overline{\lambda})\frac{r+1}{r}m^{-r}. \tag{2.15}$$

We also have that

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\lambda^{-1}_{k(2m+1)+m}| \leq c(\overline{\lambda})\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(1+k(2m+1)+m)^{-r} \leq c(\overline{\lambda})c_1 m^{-r}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $c_1 = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{1}{k^r}$. We complete the proof by using equations (2.15) and (2.16). □

Definition 2.15 *A function $b : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ will be called a function of exponent-type if b is two times continuously differentiable and there exists a positive constant s such that $b(t) = e^{-s|t|}F_b(|t|)$ for some decreasing function $F_b : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$. The sequence $\{b_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ will be called a sequence mask of exponent-type if there exists a function b of exponent-type such that $b_k = b(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Theorem 2.16 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and the sequence $\{\overline{\lambda}_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a sequence mask of type $r > 1$, the sequence $\{\overline{\beta}_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be sequence mask of exponent-type. Then there exists a positive constant c such that for all $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_p \ \leq \ c\, m^{-r}\|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T})},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{2m+1}(U_{\lambda,p}(\mathbb{T}), \varphi_\beta)_p \ \leq \ c\, m^{-r}.$$

Proof. We have proven in Theorem 2.14 that

$$\sum_{|k|>m} |\Delta\lambda_k| \leq cm^{-r}.$$

Also, we have that

$$|\gamma_k| = |\overline{\lambda}_k\frac{\overline{\beta}_k}{\overline{\beta}_{k'}}| = |(1+k)^{-r}F_{\overline{\lambda}}(\log|k|)e^{-s(k-k')}\frac{F_{\overline{\beta}}(|k|)}{F_{\overline{\beta}}(k')}|$$
$$\leq |(1+k)^{-r}c\overline{\lambda}e^{-s(k-k')}| \leq ck^{-(r+1)},$$

and so we obtain that

$$\sum_{|k|>m} |\Delta\lambda_k| + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_{k(2m+1)+m}| \leq ck^{-r}.$$

The proof is complete. □

3 Multivariate Approximation

3.1 Error estimates for functions in the space $\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$

Definition 3.1 *For $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we define*

$$|\mathbf{k}|_p = \begin{cases} (\sum_{j=1}^d |k_j|^p)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \le p < \infty \\ \max_{1\le j\le d} |k_j| & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We introduce the trigonometric polynomial H_m defined at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ as

$$H_m(\mathbf{x}) \;=\; \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty \le m} \frac{\beta_{\mathbf{k}}}{\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}}\, e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} := \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty \le m} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}\, e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}},$$

where we set $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} := \frac{\beta_{\mathbf{k}}}{\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}}$ for $|\mathbf{k}|_\infty \le m$.

For a function $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ represented as $f = \varphi_{\lambda,d} * g$, $g \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we define the operator

$$Q_m(f) := \frac{1}{(2m+1)^d} \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+ :\, 0\le|\mathbf{l}|_\infty\le 2m} V_m(g)(\delta_m\mathbf{l})\varphi_{\beta,d}(\cdot - \delta_m\mathbf{l}),$$

where $\delta_m := 2\pi/(2m+1)$ and $V_m(g) := H_m * g$. We put

$$\varepsilon_m := \max\{\sup_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty \ge m} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}|; \sqrt{\sum_{|\mathbf{j}|_\infty > 0} \Gamma_{m,\mathbf{j}}^2}\},$$

where $\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} = \beta_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}\alpha_{\mathbf{k}'}$ and $\mathbf{k}'$ is the unique vector in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $|\mathbf{k}'|_\infty \le m$ and $\frac{k_j - k_j'}{2m+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_d$, and $\Gamma_{m,\mathbf{j}} = \max\limits_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty \le m} |\gamma_{\mathbf{k}+(2m+1)\mathbf{j}}|$.

Definition 3.2 *The sequence $\{\theta_{\mathbf{k}} : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ will be called a non decreasing-type sequence if $\theta_{\mathbf{k}} \ge c\theta_{\mathbf{l}}$ for all $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfying the inequalities $|k_j| \ge |l_j|$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_d$.*

In a similar way to the proof of Theorems 2.9 and 2.10 we can prove the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.3 *There exists a positive constant c such that for all $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_2 \;\le c\varepsilon_m \|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{(2m+1)^d}(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d), \varphi_\beta)_2 \;\le\; c\,\varepsilon_m.$$

Theorem 3.4 *Let $|\beta_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}| \le c'\,|\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}|$ for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with a positive constants c' and $\{|\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}| : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ be a non decreasing-type sequence. Then there exists a positive constant c such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_2 \;\le\; c\,\|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \sqrt{\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > 0} \lambda_{m\mathbf{k}}^{-2}},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{(2m+1)^d}(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d), \varphi_\beta)_2 \;\le\; c \sqrt{\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > 0} \lambda_{m\mathbf{k}}^{-2}}.$$

From the above corollary we have the following result.

Corollary 3.5 *Let* $\left\{\frac{|\beta_{\mathbf{k}}|}{|\mathbf{k}|^r|\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}|} : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\right\}$ *be a non decreasing-type sequence for some* $r > \frac{d}{2}$*. Then there exists a positive constant* c *such that for all* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ *we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_2 \ \leq \ c \sup_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > m} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}| \|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{(2m+1)^d}(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d), \varphi_\beta)_2 \ \leq \ c \sup_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > m} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}|.$$

Proof. We see from the hypothesis that for all $\mathbf{k}$ with $|\mathbf{k}|_\infty \leq m$, we have that

$$\frac{|\beta_{\mathbf{k}+(2m+1)\mathbf{j}}|}{|\lambda_{\mathbf{k}+(2m+1)\mathbf{j}}|} \geq c \frac{|\mathbf{k} + (2m+1)\mathbf{j}|}{|\mathbf{k}|} \frac{|\beta_{\mathbf{k}}|}{|\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}|},$$

and then it follows that

$$|\gamma_{\mathbf{k}+(2m+1)\mathbf{j}}| = |\lambda^{-1}_{\mathbf{k}+(2m+1)\mathbf{j}}| \frac{|\lambda_{\mathbf{k}+(2m+1)\mathbf{j}}|}{|\beta_{\mathbf{k}+(2m+1)\mathbf{j}}|} \frac{|\beta_{\mathbf{k}}|}{|\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}|} \leq c_1 \sup_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > m} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}| |\mathbf{j}|_1^r.$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\Gamma_{m,\mathbf{j}} \leq c_1 \sup_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > m} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}| |\mathbf{j}|_1^r$$

and so

$$\sqrt{\sum_{|\mathbf{j}|_\infty > 0} \gamma_{m,\mathbf{j}}^{-2}} \leq c_1 \sup_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > m} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}| \sum_{|\mathbf{j}|_\infty > 0} |\mathbf{j}|_1^{-2r} \leq c_1 \sup_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > m} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{d-1} j^{-2r}.$$

Note that for $2r > d$ the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{d-1} j^{-2r}$ is convergent which completes the proof of the corollary. □

Corollary 3.6 *Let* $\beta_{\mathbf{k}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^2$ *for all* $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$*, and the sequence* $\left\{\frac{|\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}|}{|\mathbf{k}|^r} : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\right\}$ *be non decreasing-type for some* $r > \frac{d}{2}$*. Then there exists a positive constant* c *such that for all* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ *we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_2 \leq c \sup_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > m} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}| \|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{(2m+1)^d}(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d), \varphi_\beta)_2 \ \leq \ c \sup_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > m} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}|.$$

Corollary 3.7 *Let* $\beta_{\mathbf{k}} = \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}$ *for all* $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$*; the sequence* $\left\{\frac{|\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}|}{|\mathbf{k}|^r} : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\right\}$ *is nondecreasing-type for some* $r > \frac{d}{2}$*. Then there exists a positive constant* c *such that for all* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $f \in \Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ *we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_2 \ \leq \ c \sup_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > m} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}| \|f\|_{\Phi_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)},$$

and consequently,

$$M_{(2m+1)^d}(U_{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{T}^d), \varphi_\beta)_2 \ \leq \ c \sup_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > m} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}|.$$

3.2 Convergence rate

Theorem 3.8 *Let $\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a nondecreasing function such that $\Psi(2t) \le c\Psi(t)$ for all $t > 1$. If $\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} = \Psi(|\mathbf{k}|_2)$ for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ then there exist positive constants c' and c'' such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$c'/\Psi((n\log n)^{1/d}) \le M_n(U_{\lambda,2})_2 \le c''/\Psi(n^{1/d}).$$

Proof. Let s be any natural number and set

$$s^* = |\{\mathbf{k} : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad |\mathbf{k}|_2 \le s\}|$$

where $|A|$ denoted by the number of elements of a finite set A. Then, for some positive constants c_1 and c_2, we have that

$$c_1 s^d \le s^* \le c_2 s^d. \tag{3.1}$$

Let n be any natural number satisfying $n \ge 10$. We define the positive integers

$$m = \lfloor c_3 n \log n \rfloor + 1$$

and $s = s(n)$ as the largest natural number satisfying the inequality

$$m \ge s^*,$$

where $c_3 = \sqrt{4ec_1}$. Then it follows from (3.1) that there exists a positive number c_4 independent of n such that

$$s \le c_4 (n\log n)^{1/d}. \tag{3.2}$$

We consider the set of trigonometric polynomials

$$F_{n,s} = \Big\{ \omega \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_2 \le s} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} : \quad |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}| = 1, |\mathbf{k}|_2 \le s \Big\},$$

where

$$\omega = m^{-1/2} \Big(\max_{|\mathbf{k}|_2 = s} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}| \Big)^{-1}.$$

Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_2 \le s} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}$$

be any polynomial from $F_{n,s}$. Since

$$\|f\|_{\Phi_\lambda} = \omega \Big(\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_2 \le s} \lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \Big)^{1/2} \le \omega \max_{|\mathbf{k}|_2 = s} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}| \Big(\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_2 \le s} 1 \Big)^{1/2} = \omega \max_{|\mathbf{k}|_2 = s} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}| \Big(s^* \Big)^{1/2} \le 1,$$

f belongs to $U_{\lambda,2}$ and consequently, $F_{n,s} \subset U_{\lambda,2}$. Take an arbitrary function ψ from $L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Then it follows from a result in [4] that there exists a function $f^* \in F_{n,s}$ and a positive number c_5 such that for any linear combination of translates of ψ

$$h(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_l \psi(x - a_l),$$

we have that

$$\|f^* - h\|_2 \geq c_5 \omega m^{1/2} \geq c_5 \Big(\max_{|\mathbf{k}|_2 \leq s} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}| \Big)^{-1}.$$

Therefore, by using (3.2) and $\Psi(2t) \leq c\Psi(t)$ for all $t > 1$, there exists a positive constant c' independent of n such that

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(U_{\lambda,2})_2 &\geq M_n(F_{n,s})_2 \geq \|f^* - h\|_2 \\ &\geq c_5 \Big(\max_{|\mathbf{k}|_2 \leq s} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}| \Big)^{-1} \geq c_5 (\Psi(s))^{-1} \geq c'/\Psi((n \log n)^{1/d}) \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lower bound of the theorem.

By using Corollary 3.5 for $\beta_{\mathbf{k}} = |\mathbf{k}|^{\mathbf{d}} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}|$ and $m = (2u+1)^d < n$, there exists a positive constant c_6 independent of n such that

$$M_n(U_{\lambda,2})_2 \leq M_m(U_{\lambda,2})_2 \leq M_m(U_{\lambda,2}, \psi)_2 \leq c_6 \sup_{|\mathbf{k}|_\infty > u} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}|,$$

where $\psi(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbf{d}}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $u = \lfloor \sqrt[d]{n}/2 \rfloor - 1$. That gives us the inequality

$$M_n(U_{\lambda,2})_2 \leq c_6 \sup_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus [-u,u]^d} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}|$$

and then we get that

$$M_n(U_{\lambda,2})_2 \leq c_6 (\Psi(u))^{-1}.$$

Hence, by using $\Psi(2t) \leq c\Psi(t)$ for all $t > 1$, we obtain the remaining desired result

$$M_n(U_{\lambda,2})_2 \leq c''/\Psi(n^{1/d}).$$

The proof is complete. □

Acknowledgments Dinh Dũng's research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under Grant No. 102.01-2017.05. Dinh Dũng and Vu Nhat Huy thank Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics (VIASM) for providing a fruitful research environment and working condition. In addition, Charles Micchelli wishes to acknowledge partial support from NSF under Grant DMS 1522339.

References

[1] N. Aronszajn, Theory of reproducing kernels, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68**(1950), 337-404.

[2] Dinh Dũng and Charles A. Micchelli, Multivariate approximation by translates of the Korobov function on Smolyak grids, Journal of Complexity, **29**(2013), 424-437.

[3] Dinh Dũng and Charles A. Micchelli, Corrigendum to "Multivariate approximation by translates of the Korobov function on Smolyak grids" [J. Complexity 29 (2013) 424-437], Journal of Complexity **35**(2016), 124-125.

[4] V. Maiorov, Almost optimal estimates for best approximation by translates on a torus, Constructive Approx. **21**(2005), 1–20.

[5] C. A. Micchelli, Y. Xu, H. Zhang, Universal kernels, Journal of Machine Learning Research **7**(2006), 2651-2667.